### ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

Plaintiff Amy Gonzalez, complains of Charter Communications, Inc. d/b/a Charter Communications (I), Inc. Defendant, and for cause of action would respectfully show as follows:

#### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. This is an action for statutory damages brought by individual, Plaintiff Amy Gonzalez against Defendant Charter Communications, Inc. d/b/a Charter Communications (I), Inc. for violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA), 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).
- 2. Plaintiff contends that the Defendant has violated such laws by calling Plaintiffs cellular telephone using an automatic telephone dialing system as defined by the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. §227(a)(1) without express consent to do so.

  Plaintiff informed Defendant on two separate occasions to stop calling her cellular

- telephone. Defendant ignored Plaintiff's request to stop calling and continued to place calls.
- 3. Plaintiff contends that the Defendant has acted voluntarily, intentionally and under its own free will and knew or should have known that Defendant was engaged in acts that constitute violations of the TCPA.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3).
- 5. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendant pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391b because Defendant engages in business within this state.
- 6. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391b and 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3).
- 7. Venue in the Northern District of Texas, Fort Worth Division is proper in that the Plaintiff resides in State of Texas, Tarrant County, City of Fort Worth, the Defendant transacts business here, and the conduct complained of occurred here.

#### **PARTIES**

- 8. The Plaintiff in this lawsuit is Amy Gonzalez (Mrs. Gonzalez), a natural person and a citizen of Tarrant County, Texas.
- Defendant in this lawsuit is Charter Communications, Inc. d/b/a Charter Communications
   (I), Inc. (herein after "Charter Communications") a company with principal office at
   12405 Powerscourt Drive, Saint Louis, MO 63131-3674.
- 10. Charter Communications may be served with process by serving: Corporation Service Company d/b/a CSC-Lawyers Inco., 211 E. 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, TX 78701.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 11. The telephone number (209) 261-0600 is assigned to a cellular telephone belonging to Mrs. Gonzalez.
- 12. Charter Communications called the cellular telephone number (209) 261-0600 on the following dates and times:
  - 1. January 19, 2015 at 9:06 p.m.
  - 2. January 30, 2015 at 9:07 p.m.
  - 3. February 03, 2015 at 9:04 p.m.
  - 4. February 04, 2015 at 9:14 p.m.
  - 5. February 16, 2015 at 3:03 p.m.
  - 6. February 16, 2015 at 3:05 p.m.
- 7. February 17, 2015 at 9:04 p.m.
- 8. February 19, 2015 at 3:02 p.m.
- 9. February 20, 2015 at 8:07 p.m.
- 10. February 28, 2015 at 01:23 p.m.
- 11. March 04, 2015 at 01:54 p.m.
- 12. March 25, 2015 at 2:06 p.m.
- 13. On February 17, 2015 at 09:04 p.m. and February 28, 2015 at 01:23 p.m., the telephone calls were answered and Charter Communications was informed to stop calling the cellular telephone.
- 14. Charter Communications placed an additional five (5) calls after being informed to stop calling Plaintiff's cellular phone.
- 15. Upon information and belief, Charter Communications used an automatic telephone dialing system to dial Mrs. Gonzalez wireless cellular phone as defined by the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. §227(a)(1). Each time the telephone call was answered there was a noticeable period of silence before a representative came on the line.
- 16. Charter Communications called Mrs. Gonzalez cellular telephone number for a nonemergency purpose.
- 17. Mrs. Gonzalez had no prior established relationship with Charter Communications and has never given her express consent, written or otherwise to contact her cellular phone.

18. The acts alleged herein all took place in Tarrant County, Texas in that the communications were received there.

#### **COUNT I**

# VIOLATION OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(1)(A)(iii) BY DEFENDANT CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. D/B/A CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS (I), INC.

- 19. Paragraphs 1 through 18 are re-alleged as though fully set forth herein.
- 20. Mrs. Gonzalez and Charter Communications at this time do not have an established business relationship within the meaning of 47 U.S.C. §227(a)(2).
- 21. Charter Communications called Mrs. Gonzalez cellular telephone using an "automatic telephone dialing system" within the meaning of 47 U.S.C. §227(a)(1).
- 22. 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(1)(A)(iii) which states in part;
  - (b) RESTRICTIONS ON THE USE OF AUTOMATED TELEPHONE EQUIPMENT.—
    - (1) PROHIBITIONS. —It shall be unlawful for any person within the United States, or any person outside the United States if the recipient is within the United States—
      - (A) to make any call (other than a call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) using any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice—
        - (iii) to any telephone number assigned to a paging service, cellular telephone service, specialized mobile radio service, or other radio common carrier service, or any service for which the called party is charged for the call;
- 23. In each telephone communication referenced in ¶12, Charter Communications has demonstrated willful or knowing non-compliance with 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(1)(A)(iii) by using equipment with automatic telephone dialing system or used a telephone dialing system that has the *capacity* to automatically call the Mrs. Gonzalez number (209) 261-0600, which is *assigned to a cellular telephone service*.

24. In each telephone communication referenced in ¶12, Charter Communications has demonstrated willful or knowing non-compliance with 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A) by using an automatic telephone dialing system or used a telephone dialing system that has the *capacity* to automatically call the Plaintiff's cellular telephone number (209) 261-0600, which is assigned to a cellular telephone service *with no prior express consent* and for *no emergency purpose*.

WHEREFORE, Mrs. Gonzalez prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- Adjudging that Defendant violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act and/or admission from the Defendant(s) that they violated the Telephone Consumer
   Protection Act;
- b) Awarding Mrs. Gonzalez statutory damages, pursuant to 47 U.S.C §227(b)(3)(B); which states in part: an action to recover for actual monetary loss form such a violation, or to receive \$500 in damages for each such violation, whichever is greater.
- c) Awarding Mrs. Gonzalez statutory damages, pursuant to 47 U.S.C §227(b)(3)(C); which states in part: If the Court finds that the Defendant willfully or knowingly violated this subsection or the regulations prescribed under this subsection, the Court may, in its discretion, increase the amount of the award to an amount equal to not more than 3 (three) times the amount available under subparagraph (B) of this paragraph.
- d) Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Original Complaint Page 5 of 6

#### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Mrs. Gonzalez is entitled to and hereby demands trial by jury.

Dated: January 22, 2016

Respectfully Submitted,

416 Chatamridge Court Fort Worth, TX 76052

(817) 909-8558

gonamyleigh@yahoo.com

## ${\tiny \textbf{1S}\ 44-TXND\ (Rev.\ 12/12)} \textbf{Case}\ 4:16-cv-00061-\textbf{O} \quad \textbf{Document}\ 1\textbf{CFiled of } \textbf{SF/16}\textbf{T} \textbf{Page}\ 7\ of\ 7 \quad \textbf{PageID}\ 7$

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

barbose of unitating me civil do	icket sneet. (SBE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	r this ro	RM.)								
L (a) PLAINTIFFS	AMIAII	NA A		DEFENDA	NTS		***************************************				<del> </del>	
Amy Gonzalez				Charter Communications, Inc. d/b/a Charter Communications (I), Inc.								
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Tarrant				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant St. Louis								
- · · -	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA							VTIFF CASES				
				NOTE: IN LA THE	ND CO TRACT	NDEMN OF LANI	ATION OVNI C	CASES, USE 1 LVED.	THE LOCATION	)N OF		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)								
				<u> </u>		e pr		06	-9 6	9		
	,		<b>r</b>	<u> </u>				. 0				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	Ine Box Only)		TIZENSHIP ( For Diversity Cases)		RINCI	PAL	PARTIES	•			
U.S. Government Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)			en of This State	F DEF PTF DEF 1 Incorporated or Principal Place I 4 I 4 of Business In This State							
☐ 2 U.S. Government  Defendant			Citiz	en of Another State	ø	2 🗇	2 Inc	corporated <i>and</i> of Business In		e O 5	<b>0</b> 5	
				Citizen or Subject of a								
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			Secretary of the second									
CONTRACT  110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		ORFEITURE/PENA 5 Drug Related Seizu				UPTCY 8 USC 158		ER STATU se Claims A		
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 US	1	☐ 423 W			☐ 400 Stat	te Reapporti		
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability  367 Health Care/	CF 69	0 Other		2	8 USC	157	1 410 Ant	itrust iks and Banl	rina	
150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical				PRO	PERTY	RIGHTS			cmg	
& Enforcement of Judgment  151 Medicare Act		Slander Personal Injury				☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent				☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and		
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers'  Liability	Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal	l			D 840 T		k	4	keteer Influ rupt Organi:		
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product							[J] 480 Cor	sûmer Cred		
(Excludes Veterans)  ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER		LABOR  0 Fair Labor Standard	ds	SOCI 361 H		CURITY		ole/Sat TV urities/Com	modities/	
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		Act		☐ 862 B	lack Lu	ng (923)	Ex	change		
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle  Product Liability	371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal	0 72	<ul> <li>Labor/Management</li> <li>Relations</li> </ul>	t	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI				er Statutory		
195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage	D 74	0 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))				891 Agricultural Acts     893 Environmental Matters			
196 Franchise	Injury □ 362 Personal Injury -	385 Property Damage Product Liability	D 75	1 Family and Medica					895 Freedom of Information			
	Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	G 79	Leave Act O Other Labor Litigat	tion				Ac 896 Art			
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETETIO	<b>VIS</b> 🗆 79	1 Employee Retireme		_		AX SUITS		ninistrative		
3 210 Land Condemnation 3 220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus:  D 463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Ac	Security Act		O 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)			/Review or A ency Decisio		
3 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate	,					☐ 950 Cor				
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence  530 General						Stat	e Statutes			
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty	34500 Ang 27550 Ang	IMMIGRATION								
	Employment  446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other:  540 Mandamus & Oth		2 Naturalization App	lication							
	Other	550 Civil Rights	er   13 40	5 Other Immigration Actions								
	☐ 448 Education	555 Prison Condition										
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of										
		Confinement			l							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in												
		Remanded from (Appellate Court	J 4 Rein Reop	ened A		rred fron District		6 Multidist Litigation				
	147 U.S.C. 8 227 (	itute under which you ai b)(1)(A)(iii)	e filing (I	o not cite jurisdictio	nal statu	ıtes unles.	s diversi	ig/):				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	inter description of ca	աse։ Г <b>ele</b> phone Consum	er Prote	ction Act								
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND S			CHE	CK YES only	if demanded	l in comple	aint:	
COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			,	CMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complait JURY DEMAND: 💥 Yes 🗇 No								
VIII. RELATED PENI	ING OR CLOSED	CASE(S)		***************************************			••••••••••					
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE				DOC	KET N	UMBER				
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	ORNEY C	F RECORD							<del></del>	
01/22/2016		run -	- CN	v —								
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT WO 25 7	<del></del>	7	1	<del>- Y</del>		$\overline{\wedge}$						
RECEIPT WULS AN	2007 9407	APPLYING IFP	v	JUE	OGE	U		MAG. JU	DGE			